## All Fund Risk Heat Map and Summary of Governance Risks

			Governa	nce Risks			Impact	Fundir	Funding & Investment Risks (includes accounting and audit)									
		4 3					Negligible					7						
		7	1	2			Marginal				5	8						
				6	5		Critical		6	1 2 3	4							
							Catastrophic											
Likelihood	Unlikely	Very Low	Low	Significant	Very High	Extremely High		Extremely High	Very High	Significant	Low	Very Low	Unlikely	Likelihood				
							Catastrophic	Key	Each risk is	Each risk is represented in the chart by a number in a square.  - The number denotes the risk number on the risk register.  - The location of the square denotes the current risk exposure.  The background colour within the square denotes the target risk exposure.								
			3	5		2	Critical	1	- The location									
			4	1			Marginal		New risks si	risks since the last reporting date are denoted with a blue and white border.								
	6						Negligible			enotes a change in th ng from the previous		ce the previous repo	rting date, with the					
		Adminis	tration & Co	ommunicatio	on Risks		Impact											

- Dijectives extracted from Draft Administration Strategy (02/2016) and Draft Communications Strategy (02/16):

  Act in the best interests of the Fund's members and amployers

  Have robust governous errangements in place, to facilitate informed decision making, supported by appropriate advice, policies and strategies

  Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and its services delivered by pacple who have the appropriate knowledge and expertise

  Act with integrity and be accountable to our stakeholders for our decisions, ensuring they are robust and well based

  Inderstand and monitor risk

  Inderstand and monitor risk

  Clearly articulate our objectives and how we intend to achieve those objectives through business planning, and continually measure and monitor success

  Clearly articulate our objectives and how we intend to achieve those objectives through business planning, and continually measure and monitor success

  Those persons responsible for governing the Clwyd Pension Fund is appropriately managed and that its services are delivered by people who have the requisite knowledge and expertise, and that this knowledge and expertise is maintained within the continually changing Local Government Pension Scheme and wider pensions landscape.

  Those persons responsible for governing the Clwyd Pension Fund have sufficient expertise to be able to evaluate and challenge the advice they receive, ensure their decisions are robust and well based, and manage any potential conflicts of interest.

  Ensure including a responsible for governing the Clwyd Pension Fund have sufficient expertise to be able to evaluate and challenge the advice they receive, ensure their decisions are robust and well based, and manage any potential conflicts of interest.

Risk no:	Risk Overview (this will happen)	Risk Description (if this happens)	Strategic objectives at risk (see key)	Current Impact (see key)	Current Likelihood (see key)	Current Risk Status	Internal controls in place	Target Impact (see key)	Target Likelihood (see key)	Target Risk Status	Meets target?	Further Action?	Risk Manager	Next review date	Last Updated	Previous Impact	Previous Likelihood	Previous Risk Status	Risk removed (date)
1	Losses or other determintal impact on the Fund or its stakeholders	Risk is not identified and/or appropriately considered (recognishing that many risks can be identified but not managed to any degree of certainty)	All	Marginal	Low		1 - Risk policy in place 2 - Risk register in place and key risks/movements considered quarterly and reported to each PFC 3 - Advisory panel meets at least quarterly discussing changing environment etc 4 - Fundamental review of risk register annually 5 - TPR Code Compliance review completed 6 - Annual internal and external audit reviews 7 - Breaches procedure also assists in identifying key risks	Marginal	Low		©	None	СРҒМ	31/03/2017	14/04/2016	Marginal	Low		
2	Inappropriate or no decisions are made	Governance (particularly at PFC) is poor including dute to: - short ago dute to: - short ago dute to: - poor knowledge and advice - poor engagement /preparation / commitment - poor oversight	G1/G2/G3/G4 /G5/G6/G7	Marginal	Significant		1 - Independent advisor focussing on governance including annual report considering structure, behaviour and knowledge     2 - Oversight by Local Pension Board     3 - Annual check against TPR Code     4 - Training Pelicy, Plan and montioning in place for PC and PB members     5 - There is a range of professional advisors covering all Fund responsibilities guiding the PC, PB and officers in their responsibilities     6 - Induction training programme in place for new Committee members which covers CIPFA Knowledge and Skills requirements and can be delivered quickly.     7 - Terms of reference for the Committee in the Constitution allows for members to be on the Committee of between 4-6 years but they can be reappointed.	Negligible	Low		Current lineact 1 too high Current likelihood 1 too high	Complete     Training Needs     Analysis/consider     engagement     Speak to     Democrtatic     Services before     FCC elections to     assist with     continuity/retaining     knowledge	СРҒМ	30/09/2016	14/04/2016	Marginal	Significant		
3	Our legal fiduciary responsibilities are not met	Decisions, particularly at PFC level, are influenced by conflicts of interest and herefore may not be in the best interest of fund members and employers	G1/G2/G4/G6 /T2	Negligible	Very Low		Conflicts of Interest policy focussed on Idulciary responsibility regularly discussed and reviewed 2 - Independent advisor focussing on governance including annual report considering structure, behaviour and knowledge      All stakeholders to which Idulciary responsibility applies represented at PFC and PB      Training Policy, Plan and monitoring in place for PC and PB members including section on responsibilities     5 - There is a range of professional advisors covering all Fund responsibilities guiding the PC, PB and Officers in their responsibilities     6 - Clear strategies and policies in place with Fund objectives which are aligned with Iduclary responsibility.	Negligible	Very Low		©	None	СРЕМ	31/03/2017	14/04/2016	Negligible	Very Low		
4	Appropriate objectives are not agreed or monitored - internal factors	Policies not in place or not being monitored	G2 / G7	Negligible	Very Low		Range of policies in place and all reviewed at least every three years     Review of policy dates included in business plan     Monitoring of all objectives at least annually (work in progress)     Policies stipulate how monitoring is carried out and frequency     Business plan in place and regularly monitored	Negligible	Unlikely		Current likelihood 1 too high	1- Ensure work relating to annual monitoring is completed	Pension Finance Managers	30/06/2016	14/04/2016	Negligible	Very Low		
5	The Fund's objectives/legal responsibilities are not met or are compromised - external factors	Externally led influence and change such scheme change and asset pooling	G1/G4/G6/G7	Critical	Very High		Continued discussions at AP, PFC and PB regarding this risk     Involvement of CEO / links to WLGA and WG     Fund's consultants involved a national level/regularly reporting back to AP/PFC     4 - Key areas of potential change identified as part of business plan (ensuring ongeling monitoring)	Marginal	Low		Current impact 1 too high Current likelihood 2 too high	1 - Regular ongoing monitoring by AP to consider if any action is necessary	CPFM	08/12/2016	08/09/2016	Critical	Very High		
6	Services are not being delivered to meet legal and policy objectives	Insufficient staff numbers (e.g. sickness, resignation, retirement, ounable to recruit) - current issues include age profile, implementation of asset pools and local authority pay grades.	G3/G6/G7/T1	Critical	Significant		- 2016/17 business plan identifies key officer risk     - Review of admin structure in 2015/16     - Quarterly update reports consider resourcing matters     - Advisory Panel provide back up when required     - Addisional resources, such as outsourcing, considered as part of business plan	Negligible	Very Low		Current impact 2 too high Current likelihood 2 too high	1 - Review key officer risk (on Business Plan)	CPFM	30/09/2016	14/04/2016	Critical	Significant		
7	Legal requirements and/or guidance are not complied with	Those tasked with managing the Fund are not appropriately trained or do not understand their responsibilities (including recording and reporting breaches)	G3/G6/T1/T2/ B1/B2	Marginal	Very Low		1 - TPR Code Compliance review completed annually     2 - Annual internal and external audit reviews     3 - Breaches procedure also assists in identifying non-compliance areas (relevant individuals provided with a copy and training provided with a copy and training provided - 1 - Training policy in place (fundamental to understanding legal requirements)     5 - Use of nationally developed administration     5 - Documented processes and procedures     7 - Strategies and policies often included statements or measures around legal requirements/guidance     8 - Wide range of advisers and AP In place     9 - Independent adviser in place including annual report which will highlight concerns	Negligible	Very Low		Current impact 1 too high	Ongoing work to ensure breaches are identified and the procedure used appropriately 2 - Further documented processes (as part of TPR compliance) e.g. contribution payment failure	СРЕМ	30/09/2016	14/04/2016	Marginal	Very Low		